

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/916,532

Applicant(s)

NASU ET AL.

Examiner

Peter A. Hruskoci

Art Unit

1724

**All Participants:**(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.(2) Abraham J. Rosner.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior art rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 4/12/04*


Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior Art of Record***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 9 and 36 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)